



ELSEVIER

Available at

www.ElsevierMathematics.com

POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Approximation Theory 124 (2003) 232–241

JOURNAL OF
Approximation
Theory

http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat

Approximation of the r th differential operator by means of linear shape preserving operators of finite rank [☆]

S.P. Sidorov

*Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Saratov State University, Astrakhanskaya 83,
Saratov 410060, Russia*

Received 15 August 2002; accepted in revised form 16 September 2003

Communicated by Dany Leviatan

Abstract

In this paper, we present some results estimating the order of approximation of the r th derivative of a function by means of linear operators under different assumptions related to shape preserving properties. We give an example of an operator with the best order of approximation.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Korovkin-type results; Shape preserving property; Order of approximation

1. Introduction

In various applications it is necessary to approximate a function preserving such properties as monotonicity, convexity, concavity, etc. The so-called shape preserving operators could serve as a tool for such an approximation.

As this paper will show, if an operator of finite rank has some shape preserving properties, then the order of approximation by the derivatives of the operator is low.

Let $X = [0, 1]$. Denote by $C^k(X)$, $k \geq 0$, the space of all real-valued and k -times continuously differentiable functions on X . Let D^i be the i th differential operator; $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the sup-norm in $C(X) = C^0(X)$, $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$.

[☆]This work is supported by the program “Chief Scientific School of Russian Federation” (project NS-1295.2003.1).

E-mail address: sidorovSP@info.sgu.ru.

Denote by Π_k the subspace of $\mathbb{C}(X)$ spanned by $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_k\}$, where $e_i(x) = x^i$, i.e. $\Pi_k = \langle e_0, \dots, e_k \rangle$.

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence with $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, and let h, k be two integers with $0 \leq h \leq k$ and $\sigma_h \cdot \sigma_k \neq 0$. Let

$$C_{h,k}(\sigma) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}^k(X) : \sigma_i \cdot D^i f \geq 0, i = h, \dots, k\}.$$

Let $\Gamma = \{i : h \leq i < k, \sigma_i \neq 0, \sigma_{i+1} = 0, \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+2} \neq -1\}$.

When $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then following [7] we call $C_{h,k}(\sigma)$ a cone of type I. When $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ then we call $C_{h,k}(\sigma)$ a cone of type II.

A linear operator mapping $\mathbb{C}(X)$ into a linear space of finite dimension $n + 1$ is called an *operator of finite rank* $n + 1$.

In the theory of approximation the well-known result of Korovkin [4] gives conditions that guarantee the convergence of sequences of linear positive operators to the identity operator.

Note that interest in conservative and shape preserving approximation has increased in last years. In particular, Gonska [1], Knoop and Pottinger [2], Muñoz-Delgado and Cárdenas-Morales [6] presented some quantitative Korovkin-type estimates on shape preserving approximation. The next generalizations of Korovkin theorem [4] were presented in [7].

Proposition 1. *Let $C_{h,k}(\sigma)$ be a cone of type I or II and let $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $L_n : \mathbb{C}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k(X)$, be a sequence of linear operators.*

If

$$L_n(C_{h,k}(\sigma)) \subset C_{k,k}(\sigma) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^k L_n e_j - D^k e_j\| = 0 \text{ for every } j = h, \dots, k + 2,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^k L_n f - D^k f\| = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{C}^k(X).$$

Proposition 2. *Let $C_{h,k}(\sigma)$ be a cone of type II and $r \in \Gamma$. Let $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $L_n : \mathbb{C}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r(X)$, be a sequence of linear operators.*

If

$$L_n(C_{h,k}(\sigma)) \subset C_{r,r}(\sigma) \tag{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^r L_n e_j - D^r e_j\| = 0 \text{ for every } j = h, \dots, k, \tag{3}$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^r L_n f - D^r f\| = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{C}^k(X).$$

One of the shortcomings of linear positive operators is their slow convergence. It was shown by Korovkin [5] that the order of approximation by positive linear polynomial operators of degree n cannot be better than n^{-2} in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ even for the functions e_0, e_1, e_2 . Moreover, Videnskii [12] has shown that the result of [5] does not depend on the properties of the polynomials but rather on the limitation of dimension.

Extending the results of Korovkin [5] and Videnskii [12] it was shown in [8] that if in the approximation process given in Proposition 1, the operators L_n are assumed to be of finite rank $n + 1$, then the order of convergence of $D^k L_n f$ to $D^k f$ cannot be better than n^{-2} even for functions e_k, e_{k+1}, e_{k+2} .

In this paper we present similar results for sequences of linear operators L_n of finite rank $n + 1$ under the conditions of Proposition 2. Interestingly, we show that an order of approximation better than n^{-2} , may occur. Specifically, we first show that it cannot be better than $n^{-(k-r)}$, and then we present an example with this optimal order.

Note that particular case $k = r + 2$ was considered in [9].

2. Main results

Theorem 3. *Let $C_{h,k}(\sigma)$ be a cone of type II and $r \in \Gamma$. Let $L_n : \mathbb{C}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r(X)$ be a linear operator of finite rank $n + 1$, such that*

- (1) $L_n(C_{h,k}(\sigma)) \subset C_{r,r}(\sigma)$;
- (2) $D^r L_n e_r = D^r e_r$;
- (3) if $r > 0$, then $L_n(\Pi_{r-1}) \subset \Pi_{r-1}$.

Then

$$\sum_{p=r+1}^k \frac{1}{p!} \|D^p L_n e_p - D^p e_p\| \geq \frac{1}{\tau(n+1)^{k-r}}, \tag{4}$$

where the constant $\tau > 1$ does not depend on n .

Proof. The proof of the theorem mostly uses the ideas and the technique of [10–12].

It is clear that $k \geq r + 2$. We assume $\sigma_r = 1$ (for $\sigma_r = -1$ the theorem can be proved similarly).

Let $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^n$ be a system generating the linear space $\{L_n f : f \in \mathbb{C}^k(X)\}$. Consider the matrix

$$A = \|D^r u_j(z_i)\|_{j=0, \dots, n; i=0, \dots, n+1},$$

where $z_i = \frac{i}{n+1}$, $i = 0, \dots, n + 1$.

The rank of A is not equal to zero, $\text{rank } A \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\text{rank } A = 0$, then $D^r L_n f(z_j) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f) D^r u_i(z_j) = 0, j = 0, \dots, n$, for every $f \in \mathbb{C}^k(X)$, which contradicts hypothesis (2).

Take a non-trivial vector $\delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} |\delta_i| = 1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \delta_i D^r u_j(z_i) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n.$$

It follows from [3, pp. 82–96] that there exists a constant $c \geq 1$, independent of n , and a function $g \in C^k(X)$ such that

- (1) $D^r g(z_i) = \text{sgn } \delta_i, i = 0, \dots, n + 1,$
- (2) $D^i g(0) = 0, i = 0, \dots, r - 1,$
- (3) $\|D^i g\| \leq c^{i-r} (n + 1)^{i-r}, i = r, \dots, k.$

As $D^r L_n g$ belongs to the linear space spanned by $\{D^r u_j\}_{j=0}^n$, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \delta_i D^r L_n g(z_i) = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} |\delta_i| = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \delta_i D^r g(z_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \delta_i (D^r g(z_i) - D^r L_n g(z_i)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} |\delta_i| \|D^r L_n g(z_i) - D^r g(z_i)\| \leq \|D^r L_n g - D^r g\|. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Take $x \in X$ and define two functions $q_{j,x}, j = 1, 2$, by

$$q_{j,x}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i=h \\ i \neq r, r+1}}^k \frac{1}{i!} m_i (t-x)^i + (-1)^{j+1} D^{r+1} g(x) \frac{1}{(r+1)!} (t-x)^{r+1}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$m_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i \cdot \left(\|D^i g\| + \sum_{\substack{p=i+1 \\ p \neq r}}^k \|D^p g\| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{j!} + \frac{1}{(r-i)!} \|D^r g\| \right) & \text{if } h < r \text{ and} \\ i = h, \dots, r - 1, \\ \sigma_i \cdot \left(\|D^i g\| + \sum_{p=i+1}^k \|D^p g\| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{j!} \right) & \text{if } r < k - 2 \text{ and} \\ i = r + 2, \dots, k - 1, \\ \sigma_k \cdot \|D^k g\| & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\gamma_{j,x} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_{j,x} + (-1)^j \left(g - \frac{1}{r!} e_r D^r g(x) \right) \in C_{h,k}(\sigma), \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, since $\gamma_{j,x}, j = 1, 2$, satisfy the following properties:

- (1) $D^r \gamma_{j,x}(x) = D^r q_{j,x}(x) = 0,$

$$(2) \quad D^{r+1}\gamma_{j,x}(x) = D^{r+1}q_{j,x}(x) + (-1)^j D^{r+1}g(x) = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad D^{r+2}\gamma_{j,x} = D^{r+2}q_{j,x} + (-1)^j D^{r+2}g \geq 0,$$

we have $D^r\gamma_{j,x} \geq 0$.

Finally, it is easy to check that

$$\sigma_i D^i \gamma_{j,x} \geq 0, \quad i = h, \dots, k, \quad i \neq r.$$

Now it follows from hypothesis (1) and (7) that $L_n \gamma_{j,x} \in C_{r,r}(\sigma)$, $j = 1, 2$. Thus,

$$D^r L_n \left(g - \frac{1}{r!} e_r D^r g(x) \right) (x) \leq D^r L_n q_{1,x}(x)$$

and

$$-D^r L_n \left(g - \frac{1}{r!} e_r D^r g(x) \right) (x) \leq D^r L_n q_{2,x}(x).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & |D^r L_n g(x) - D^r g(x)| \\ &= \left| D^r L_n \left(g - \frac{1}{r!} e_r D^r g(x) \right) (x) + \frac{1}{r!} D^r g(x) \cdot (D^r L_n e_r - D^r e_r)(x) \right| \\ &\leq \max\{|D^r L_n q_{1,x}(x)|, |D^r L_n q_{2,x}(x)|\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where we have used hypothesis (2).

On the other hand, it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} D^r L_n((\cdot - x)^i)(x) &= D^r L_n \left(\sum_{s=0}^i (-1)^{i-s} C_i^s e_s x^{i-s} \right) (x) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^i (-1)^{i-s} C_i^s x^{i-s} (D^r L_n e_s - D^r e_s)(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=0}^i (-1)^{i-s} C_i^s x^{i-s} D^r e_s(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{s=0}^i (-1)^{i-s} C_i^s x^{i-s} D^r e_s(x) = i! x^{i-r} \sum_{s=r}^i (-1)^{i-s} \frac{1}{(i-s)!(s-r)!} = 0,$$

that

$$D^r L_n((\cdot - x)^i)(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=r+1}^i (-1)^{i-s} C_i^s (D^r L_n e_s - D^r e_s)(x), & i > r, \\ 0, & 0 \leq i \leq r. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

It follows from (6), (8) and (9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |D^r L_n g(x) - D^r g(x)| \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=r+2}^k \left(\frac{1}{i!} \cdot |m_i| \cdot \sum_{s=r+1}^i C_i^s |D^r L_n e_s(x) - D^r e_s(x)| \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \cdot |D^{r+1} g(x)| \cdot |D^r L_n e_{r+1}(x) - D^r e_{r+1}(x)| \\
 & = \sum_{p=r+1}^k \left(\frac{1}{p!} \cdot |D^r L_n e_p(x) - D^r e_p(x)| \cdot \sum_{\substack{j=p \\ j \neq r+1}}^k \frac{|m_j|}{(j-p)!} \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \cdot |D^{r+1} g(x)| \cdot |D^r L_n e_{r+1}(x) - D^r e_{r+1}(x)|. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $|m_p| \leq 2 \sum_{l=p}^k c^{l-r} (n+1)^{l-r}$, $p = r+2, \dots, k$, and (5), (10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 & \leq 2 \sum_{p=r+1}^k \left(\frac{1}{p!} \|D^r L_n e_p - D^r e_p\| \cdot \sum_{j=p}^k \left(\frac{1}{(j-p)!} \cdot \sum_{l=j}^k c^{l-r} (n+1)^{l-r} \right) \right) \\
 & \leq 2c^{k-r} (n+1)^{k-r} \sum_{p=r+1}^k \frac{1}{p!} \|D^r L_n e_p - D^r e_p\| \sum_{j=p}^k \frac{k-j+1}{(j-p)!} \\
 & \leq 2c^{k-r} (n+1)^{k-r} \sum_{p=r+1}^k \frac{1}{p!} \|D^r L_n e_p - D^r e_p\| (k-p+1)e \\
 & \leq 2e(k-r)c^{k-r} (n+1)^{k-r} \sum_{p=r+1}^k \frac{1}{p!} \|D^r L_n e_p - D^r e_p\|, \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\sum_{j=p}^k \frac{1}{(j-p)!} \leq e = 2, 718\dots$. Finally (4) follows directly from (11) taking $\tau = 2e \cdot (k-r)c^{k-r}$. \square

3. Auxiliary lemmas

Let us consider some lemmas first.

Denote by $[y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p; f]$ the p th order divided difference of the function f at the knots $y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_p$.

Denote by $L_p f(x; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p)$ the Newton interpolation polynomial of the function f at the knots y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p :

$$L_p f(x; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p) = \sum_{j=0}^p [y_0, \dots, y_j; f] \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (x - y_i), \quad x - y_{-1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 4. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_p \leq 1$. Denote $x_{-1} = -\infty$, $x_{p+1} = +\infty$. Let $f \in C_{0,p+1}(\sigma)$.

(1) If $\sigma_0 \sigma_{p+1} > 0$ then

$$\sigma_0 L_p f(x; x_0, \dots, x_p) \geq 0 \tag{13}$$

for all $x \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} [x_{p-(2k+1)}, x_{p-2k}]$.

(2) If $\sigma_0 \sigma_{p+1} < 0$ then (13) holds for all $x \in \bigcup_{k=-1}^{\lfloor (p-1)/2 \rfloor} [x_{p-(2k+2)}, x_{p-(2k+1)}]$.

Proof. Let $x \in [x_{l-1}, x_l]$, $l = 0, \dots, p+1$. It follows from $\sigma_{p+1} D^{p+1} f \geq 0$ that $\sigma_{p+1} [x_0, \dots, x_{l-1}, x, x_l, \dots, x_p; f] \geq 0$, i.e. $\sigma_{p+1} \Delta_p f(x; x_0, \dots, x_p) \geq 0$, where

$$\Delta_p f(x; x_0, \dots, x_p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^l \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & \dots & x^p & f(x) \\ 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^p & f(x_0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & x_p & \dots & x_p^p & f(x_p) \end{vmatrix}.$$

It follows from the equality

$$\Delta_p f(x; x_0, \dots, x_p) = (-1)^{p+l} (L_p f(x; x_0, \dots, x_p) - f(x)) \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_j - x_i),$$

that $\sigma_{p+1} (-1)^{p+l} L_p f(x; x_0, \dots, x_p) \geq \sigma_{p+1} (-1)^{p+l} f(x)$. Since $\sigma_0 f \geq 0$ inequality (13) holds for appropriate x . \square

We need the following well-known properties of the Newton interpolation polynomial.

Lemma 5. Let $L_p f(x; y_0, \dots, y_p)$ be the Newton interpolation polynomial of the function f at the knots y_0, \dots, y_p . Then

- (1) $L_p e_i(x; y_0, \dots, y_p) = e_i(x)$, $i = 0, \dots, p$,
- (2) $L_p e_{p+1}(x; y_0, \dots, y_p) = e_{p+1}(x) - \prod_{j=0}^p (x - y_j)$.

4. Example

Let $p, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $2 < k - r < n$.

For $f \in C^r(X)$ denote by $L_{r,p} f(x; y_0, \dots, y_p)$ the polynomial of degree $r + p$ in the variable x uniquely determined by

- (1) $D^r L_{r,p} f(x; y_0, \dots, y_p) = L_p(D^r f)(x; y_0, \dots, y_p)$,
- (2) $D^i L_{r,p} f(0; y_0, \dots, y_p) = 0$, $i = 0, \dots, r - 1$, if $r > 0$.

Let $C_{h,k}(\sigma)$ be a cone of type II with $r \in \Gamma$.

Denote $x_{i,n} = i/n$, $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Let $\Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} : \mathbb{C}^r(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r(X)$ be a linear operator defined by

$$\Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{l!} x^l (D^l f(x_{0,n}) - D^l A_{1,r,k,n} f(x_{0,n})) \\ \quad + A_{1,r,k,n} f(x), & x \in [x_{0,n}, x_{1,n}], \\ \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{l!} (x - x_{j-1,n})^l (D^l \Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} f(x_{j-1,n}) - D^l A_{j,r,k,n} f(x_{j-1,n})) \\ \quad + A_{j,r,k,n} f(x), & x \in (x_{j-1,n}, x_{j,n}], \quad j = 2, \dots, n, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where

(1) if $k - r$ is an odd number and $\sigma_r \sigma_k > 0$, then

$$A_{j,r,k,n} f(x) = \begin{cases} L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{k-r,n}), & j = 2i + 1, \\ \quad i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 1)/2, \\ L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{0,n}, \dots, x_{k-r-1,n}), & j = 2i + 2, \\ \quad i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 3)/2, \\ L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{j-(k-r-1),n}, \dots, x_{j,n}), & j = k - r + 1, \dots, n, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

(2) if $k - r$ is an odd number and $\sigma_r \sigma_k < 0$, then

$$A_{j,r,k,n} f(x) = \begin{cases} L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{j-1,n}, \dots, x_{j+k-r-2,n}), & j = 1, \dots, n - (k - r), \\ L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{n-(k-r),n}, \dots, x_{n-1,n}), & j = n - 2i, \\ \quad i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 1)/2, \\ L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{n-(k-r-1),n}, \dots, x_{n,n}), & j = n - 2i - 1, \\ \quad i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 3)/2, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

(3) if $k - r$ is an even number and $\sigma_r \sigma_k > 0$, then

$$A_{j,r,k,n} f(x) = \begin{cases} L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{0,n}, \dots, x_{k-r-1,n}), & j = 2i + 1, \\ \quad i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 2)/2, \\ L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{k-r,n}), & j = 2i + 2, \\ \quad i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 2)/2, \\ L_{r,k-r-1} f(x; x_{j-(k-r-1),n}, \dots, x_{j,n}), & j = k - r + 1, \dots, n, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

(4) if $k - r$ is an even number and $\sigma_r \sigma_k < 0$, then

$$A_{j,r,k,n}f(x) = \begin{cases} L_{r,k-r-1}f(x; x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{k-r,n}), & j = 1, \\ L_{r,k-r-1}f(x; x_{j-2,n}, \dots, x_{j+k-r-3,n}), & j = 2, \dots, \\ & n - (k - r - 1), \\ L_{r,k-r-1}f(x; x_{n-(k-r),n}, \dots, x_{n-1,n}), & j = n - 2i, \\ & i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 2)/2, \\ L_{r,k-r-1}f(x; x_{n-(k-r-1),n}, \dots, x_{n,n}), & j = n - 2i - 1, \\ & i = 0, \dots, (k - r - 4)/2. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

It is obvious that $\Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]}$ is an operator of finite rank $n + 1$.

Theorem 6. Let $\Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} : \mathbb{C}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r(X)$ be a linear operator defined by (14)–(18). Then

- (1) $\Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]}(C_{r,k}(\sigma)) \subset C_{r,r}(\sigma)$;
- (2) $D^r \Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} e_i = D^r e_i, i = 0, \dots, k - 1$;
- (3) $\|D^r \Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} e_k - D^r e_k\| = \frac{k!}{n^{k-r}}$, if
 - (a) $k - r$ is an odd number,
 - (b) $k - r$ is an even number and $\sigma_r \sigma_k < 0$;
- (4) $\|D^r \Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} e_k - D^r e_k\| = \frac{1}{n^{k-r}} \frac{k!}{(k-r)!} \sup_{x \in X} \prod_{j=0}^{k-r-1} |x - j|$, if $k - r$ is an even number and $\sigma_r \sigma_k > 0$;
- (5) for every $f \in \mathbb{C}^k(X)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D^r \Lambda_{r,k,n}^{[\sigma]} f - D^r f\| = 0$.

Proof. Condition (1) follows from Lemma 4. Apply it to $D^r f$ with $f \in C_{r,k}(\sigma)$. Notice that $D^r f \in C_{0,k-r}(\sigma^*)$ with $\sigma_i^* = \sigma_{r+i}$. Conditions (2)–(4) follow from Lemma 5. Finally (5) is a direct consequence of (1)–(4) and Proposition 2. \square

5. Conclusion

The following statement is a direct consequence of Theorems 3 and 6:

Let h, r, k be three integers with $0 \leq h \leq r \leq k - 2$. Let $C(h, r, k) = \{C_{h,k}(\sigma) : \sigma_h \cdot \sigma_k \neq 0, \sigma_r \neq 0, \sigma_{r+1} = 0, \sigma_r \sigma_{r+2} \neq -1\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(h, r, k)$ a set of linear operators $L_n : \mathbb{C}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r(X)$ of finite rank $n + 1$, such that

- (1) there is a cone $C_{h,k}(\sigma) \in C(h, r, k)$ such that $L_n(C_{h,k}(\sigma)) \subset C_{r,r}(\sigma)$;
- (2) $D^r L_n e_r = D^r e_r$;
- (3) if $r > 0$, then $L_n(\Pi_{r-1}) \subset \Pi_{r-1}$.

Then

$$\frac{1}{\tau(n + 1)^{k-r}} \leq \inf_{L_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(h,r,k)} \sum_{p=r+1}^k \frac{1}{p!} \|D^r L_n e_p - D^r e_p\| \leq \frac{1}{n^{k-r}},$$

where the constant $\tau > 1$ does not depend on n .

Acknowledgments

I thank Professor A.L. Lukashov for his helpful suggestions. I express my gratitude to the referee for the careful perusal, finding inaccuracies and valuable remarks.

References

- [1] H.H. Gonska, Quantitative Korovkin type theorems on simultaneous approximation, *Math. Z.* 186 (1984) 419–433.
- [2] H.-B. Knoop, P. Pottinger, Ein Satz vom Korovkin-Typ für C^k -Räume, *Math. Z.* 148 (1976) 23–32.
- [3] N.P. Korneichuk, *Splines in the Approximation Theory*, Nauka, Moscow, 1984 (in Russian).
- [4] P.P. Korovkin, On convergence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 90 (1953) 961–964 (in Russian).
- [5] P.P. Korovkin, On the order of approximation of functions by linear operators, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 114 (6) (1957) 1158–1161 (in Russian).
- [6] F.J. Muñoz-Delgado, D. Cárdenas-Morales, Almost convexity and quantitative Korovkin type results, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 11 (4) (1998) 105–108.
- [7] F.J. Muñoz-Delgado, V. Ramírez-González, D. Cárdenas-Morales, Qualitative Korovkin-type results on conservative approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* 94 (1998) 144–159.
- [8] S.P. Sidorov, On the order of approximation by linear shape preserving operators of finite rank, *East J. Approx.* 7 (1) (2001) 1–8.
- [9] S.P. Sidorov, Some properties of linear shape preserving operators, in: D.V. Prokhorov (Ed.), *Mathematics. Mechanics*, Saratov State University, Saratov, 2001, pp. 117–120 (in Russian).
- [10] R.K. Vasiliev, F. Guendouz, On the order of approximation of continuous functions by positive linear operators of finite rank, *J. Approx. Theory* 69 (2) (1992) 133–140.
- [11] R.K. Vasiliev, On the order of approximation of multivariable functions by linear positive operators of finite rank, *Math. Notes* 53 (1) (1993) 3–15 (in Russian).
- [12] V.S. Videnskii, On the exact inequality for linear positive operators of finite rank, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Tadzhik. SSR* 24 (12) (1981) 715–717 (in Russian).